

Recent progress on symplectic embedding problems in four dimensions

Michael Hutchings

Abstract

We survey some recent progress on understanding when one four-dimensional symplectic manifold can be symplectically embedded into another. In 2010, McDuff established a number-theoretic criterion for the existence of a symplectic embedding of one four-dimensional ellipsoid into another. This is related to previously known criteria for when a disjoint union of balls can be symplectically embedded into a ball. The new theory of “ECH capacities” gives general obstructions to symplectic embeddings in four dimensions which turn out to be sharp in the above cases.

Recall that a *symplectic manifold* is a pair (X, ω) , where X is an oriented smooth manifold of dimension $2n$ for some integer n , and ω is a closed 2-form on X such that the top exterior power $\omega^n > 0$ on all of X . The basic example of a symplectic manifold is $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with coordinates $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, with the standard symplectic form

$$\omega_{std} = \sum_{j=1}^n dx_j dy_j. \quad (1)$$

If (X_0, ω_0) and (X_1, ω_1) are two symplectic manifolds of dimension $2n$, it is interesting to ask whether there exists a *symplectic embedding* $\phi : (X_0, \omega_0) \rightarrow (X_1, \omega_1)$, i.e. a smooth embedding $\phi : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ such that $\phi^* \omega_1 = \omega_0$.

It turns out that the answer to this question is unknown, or only recent known, even for some very simple examples such as the following. If $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$, define the ellipsoid

$$E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \pi \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|z_j|^2}{a_j} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

In particular, define the ball

$$B(a) = E(a, \dots, a).$$

Also define the polydisk

$$P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \pi|z_j|^2 \leq a_j\}.$$

In these examples the symplectic form is taken to be the restriction of ω_{std} .

An obvious necessary condition for the existence of a symplectic embedding $\phi : (X_0, \omega_0) \rightarrow (X_1, \omega_1)$ is the volume constraint

$$\text{vol}(X_0, \omega_0) \leq \text{vol}(X_1, \omega_1), \quad (2)$$

where the volume of a symplectic manifold is defined by

$$\text{vol}(X, \omega) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_X \omega^n.$$

However in dimension greater than two, the volume constraint (2) is far from sufficient, even for convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, as shown by the famous:

Gromov nonsqueezing theorem [Gr] *There exists a symplectic embedding $B(r) \rightarrow P(R, \infty, \dots, \infty)$ if and only if $r \leq R$.*

Let us now restrict to the case of dimension four. As we will see below, symplectic embedding problems are more tractable in four dimensions than in higher dimensions, among other reasons due to the availability of Seiberg-Witten theory. But symplectic embedding problems in four dimensions are still hard. For example, the question of when one four-dimensional ellipsoid can be symplectically embedded into another was answered only in 2010, by McDuff. (The analogous question in higher dimensions remains open.) To state the result, if a and b are positive real numbers, and if k is a positive integer, define $(a, b)_k$ to be the k^{th} smallest entry in the array $(am + bn)_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}$, counted with repetitions. Denote the sequence $((a, b)_{k+1})_{k \geq 0}$ by $\mathcal{N}(a, b)$. For example,

$$\mathcal{N}(1, 2) = (0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, \dots).$$

If $\{c_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ and $\{c'_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ are two sequences of real numbers indexed by non-negative integers, the notation $\{c_k\} \leq \{c'_k\}$ means that $c_k \leq c'_k$ for every $k \geq 0$.

Theorem 1 (McDuff [M3]). *There exists a symplectic embedding $\text{int}(E(a, b)) \rightarrow E(c, d)$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}(a, b) \leq \mathcal{N}(c, d)$.*

Note that given specific real numbers a, b, c, d , it can be a nontrivial number-theoretic problem to decide whether $\mathcal{N}(a, b) \leq \mathcal{N}(c, d)$. For example, consider the special case where $c = d$, i.e. the problem of symplectically embedding an ellipsoid into a ball. By scaling, we can encode this problem into a single function f defined as follows: If a is a positive real number, define $f(a)$ to be the infimum of the set of $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that there exists a symplectic embedding $\text{int}(E(a, 1)) \rightarrow B(c)$. Note that $\text{vol}(E(a, b)) = ab/2$, so the volume constraint implies that $f(a) \geq \sqrt{a}$. By Theorem 1,

$$f(a) = \inf\{c \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathcal{N}(a, 1) \leq \mathcal{N}(c, c)\}$$

McDuff-Schlenk [MS] computed f explicitly (without using Theorem 1) and found in particular that:

- If $1 \leq a \leq ((1 + \sqrt{5})/2)^4$, then f is piecewise linear.
- The interval $[((1 + \sqrt{5})/2)^4, (17/6)^2]$ is partitioned into finitely many intervals, on each of which either f is linear or $f(a) = \sqrt{a}$.
- If $a \geq (17/6)^2$ then $f(a) = \sqrt{a}$.

The starting point for the proof of Theorem 1 is that in [M2], the ellipsoid embedding problem is reduced to an instance of the *ball packing problem*: Given positive real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_m$ and a , when does there exist a symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m \text{int}(B(a_i)) \rightarrow B(a)$? (Here and below, all of our balls are four dimensional.) It turns out that the answer to this problem has been understood in various forms since the 1990's. The form that is the most relevant for our discussion is the following:

Theorem 2. *There exists a symplectic embedding*

$$\coprod_{i=1}^m \text{int}(B(a_i)) \rightarrow B(a)$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m d_i a_i \leq da \tag{3}$$

whenever $(d_1, \dots, d_m, d)$ are nonnegative integers such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (d_i^2 + d_i) \leq d^2 + 3d. \tag{4}$$

For example, consider the special case where all of the a_i 's are equal, and say $a = 1$. Define $\nu(m)$ to be the supremum, over all symplectic embeddings of the disjoint union of m equal balls into $B(1)$, of the fraction of the volume of $B(1)$ that is filled.

Proposition 3. (a) (McDuff-Polterovich [MP]) The first 9 values of ν are $1, 1/2, 3/4, 1, 20/25, 24/25, 63/64, 288/289, 1$.

(b) (Biran [B1]) $\nu(m) = 1$ for all $m \geq 9$.

Proof. The upper bounds on $\nu(m)$ for $m = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8$ follow from Theorem 2 by taking $(d_1, \dots, d_m, d)$ to be $(1, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, 0, 1)$, $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$, $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 2)$, $(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3)$, and $(3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 6)$ respectively. For the proof that these upper bounds are sharp see [MP].

To prove (b), by Theorem 2, it is enough to show that if $(d_1, \dots, d_m, d)$ satisfy (4) then $(1/\sqrt{m}) \sum_i d_i \leq d$. To do so, consider two cases. First, if $\sum_i d_i^2 \leq d^2$ then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives $\sum_{i=1}^m d_i \leq d\sqrt{m}$. Second, if $\sum_i d_i^2 \geq d^2$ then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \leq d + \frac{1}{3} \left(d^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^2 \right) \leq d.$$

□

Remark. Traynor [Tr] gives an explicit construction of a maximal symplectic packing of $B(1)$ by m equal open balls when m is a perfect square or when $m \leq 6$, compare Proposition 7 below and see [S] for an extensive discussion. Explicit constructions for $m = 7, 8$ are given by Wieck [W]. However explicit maximal packings are not known in general, and the proof of Theorem 2 is rather indirect.

Axioms for ECH capacities

We now explain how the “only if” parts of Theorems 1 and 2 can be recovered from the general theory of “ECH capacities”.

In general, a *symplectic capacity* is a function c , defined on some class of symplectic manifolds, with values in $[0, \infty]$, with the following properties:

- (Monotonicity) If there exists a symplectic embedding $(X_0, \omega_0) \rightarrow (X_1, \omega_1)$, then $c(X_0, \omega_0) \leq c(X_1, \omega_1)$.
- (Conformality) If α is a nonzero real number, then $c(X, \alpha\omega) = |\alpha|c(X, \omega)$.

See [CHLS] for a review of many symplectic capacities.

In [H3] a new sequence of symplectic capacities was introduced in four dimensions, called *ECH capacities*. If (X, ω) is a symplectic four-manifold (not necessarily closed or connected), its ECH capacities are a sequence of numbers

$$0 = c_0(X, \omega) < c_1(X, \omega) \leq c_2(X, \omega) \leq \cdots \leq \infty. \quad (5)$$

We denote the entire sequence by $c_\bullet(X, \omega) = (c_k(X, \omega))_{k \geq 0}$. The following are some basic properties of the ECH capacities:

Theorem 4 ([H3]). *The ECH capacities satisfy the following axioms:*

- (Monotonicity) c_k is monotone for each k .
- (Conformality) c_k is conformal for each k .
- (Ellipsoid) $c_\bullet(E(a, b)) = \mathcal{N}(a, b)$.
- (Disjoint Union)

$$c_k \left(\coprod_{i=1}^m (X_i, \omega_i) \right) = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m c_{k_i}(X_i, \omega_i) \mid \sum_{i=1}^m k_i = k \right\}.$$

In particular, the ECH capacities give sharp obstructions to symplectically embedding one ellipsoid into another, or a disjoint union of balls into a ball:

Corollary 5. (a) *If there is a symplectic embedding $E(a, b) \rightarrow E(c, d)$, then $\mathcal{N}(a, b) \leq \mathcal{N}(c, d)$.*

(b) *If there is a symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m B(a_i) \rightarrow B(a)$, then the inequalities (3) hold.*

Proof. (a) This follows immediately from the Monotonicity and Ellipsoid axioms in Theorem 4.

(b) First note that by the Ellipsoid axiom in Theorem 4, we have $c_k(B(a)) = d$, where d is the unique nonnegative integer such that

$$\frac{d^2 + d}{2} \leq k \leq \frac{d^2 + 3d}{2}.$$

Now suppose there is a symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m B(a_i) \rightarrow B(a)$, and suppose $(d_1, \dots, d_m, d)$ are nonnegative integers satisfying (4). Let $k_i =$

$(d_i^2 + d_i)/2$ and $k = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i$ and $k' = (d^2 + 3d)/2$. By hypothesis, $k \leq k'$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m d_i a_i &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_{k_i}(B(a_i)) \leq c_k \left(\coprod_{i=1}^m B(a_i) \right) \\ &\leq c_k(B(a)) \leq c_{k'}(B(a)) = da, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality holds by the Disjoint Union axiom, the second by Monotonicity, and the third by (5). $\square$

Ball packing

We now review the proof of Theorem 2, and related criteria for the existence of a symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m \text{int}(B(a_i)) \rightarrow B(a)$. By scaling, we may assume that $a = 1$.

The first step is to show that the existence of a ball packing is equivalent to the existence of a certain symplectic form on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# m \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$. There is a standard symplectic form ω on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ such that $\langle L, \omega \rangle = 1$, where L denotes the homology class of a line. With this symplectic form, $\text{vol}(\mathbb{CP}^2) = 1/2$, and there is a symplectic embedding $\text{int}(B(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$. Now suppose there exists a symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m B(a_i) \rightarrow \text{int}(B(1))$. We then have a symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m B(a_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$. We can now perform the “symplectic blowup” along (the image of) each of the balls $B(a_i)$. This amounts to removing the interior of $B(a_i)$, and then collapsing the fibers of the Hopf fibration on $\partial B(a_i)$ to points, so that $\partial B(a_i)$ is collapsed to the i^{th} exceptional divisor. The result is a symplectic form ω on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# m \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ whose cohomology class is given by

$$\text{PD}[\omega] = L - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i E_i, \quad (6)$$

where E_i denotes the homology class of the i^{th} exceptional divisor, and PD denotes Poincaré duality. Also the canonical class for this symplectic form (namely $-c_1$ of the tangent bundle as defined using an ω -compatible almost complex structure) is given by

$$\text{PD}(K) = -3L + \sum_{i=1}^m E_i. \quad (7)$$

To proceed, define $\mathcal{E}_m$ to be the set of classes in $H_2(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# m \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2)$ that have square -1 and can be represented by a smoothly embedded sphere that is symplectic with respect to some symplectic form ω obtained from blowing up $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Elements of $\mathcal{E}_m$ are called “exceptional classes”. One can show that the set $\mathcal{E}_m$ does not depend on the choice of ω as above. In fact, Li-Li [LiLi] used Seiberg-Witten theory to show that $\mathcal{E}_m$ consists of the set of classes A such that $A^2 = A \cdot K = -1$ and A is representable by a *smoothly* embedded sphere.

Proposition 6. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_m > 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) *There exists a symplectic embedding*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m B(a_i) \rightarrow \text{int}(B(1)).$$

(b) *There exists a symplectic form ω on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# m \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ satisfying (6) and (7).*

(c) *$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 < 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^m d_i a_i < d$ whenever*

$$dL - \sum_{i=1}^m d_i E_i \in \mathcal{E}_m.$$

(d) *$\sum_{i=1}^m d_i a_i < d$ whenever $(d_1, \dots, d_m, d)$ are nonnegative integers, not all zero, satisfying (4).*

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) follows from the blowup construction described above.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): It is shown in [MP] that if (6) holds and if ω is homotopic through symplectic forms to a form obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{CP}^2$ along small balls, then one can “blow down” to obtain a ball packing. And it is shown in [LiLiu] that any two symplectic forms on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# m \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ satisfying (7) are homotopic through symplectic forms.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) because $\omega^2 > 0$ and ω has positive pairing with every exceptional class.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is proved in [LiLiu]. Actually, by Lemma 1 below, it is enough to prove the slightly weaker statement that if (c) holds then $(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is in the closure of the set of tuples satisfying (b). This last statement follows from earlier work of McDuff [M1, Lem. 2.2] and Biran [B2, Thm. 3.2]. The idea of the argument is as follows. Without loss of generality, $a_1, \dots, a_m$

are rational. Write $A = L - \sum_i a_i E_i$. Let ω_0 be a symplectic form on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# m \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{CP}^2$ along small balls. We will see below that for some positive integer n there exists a connected, embedded, ω_0 -symplectic surface C representing the class nA . Then, since $A \cdot A > 0$ (by the first condition in (c)), the “inflation” procedure in [M1, Lem. 1.1] allows one to deform ω_0 in a neighborhood of C to obtain a symplectic form ω with cohomology class $[\omega] = \omega_0 + r \text{PD}(A)$ for any $r > 0$. By taking r large and scaling, this gives a symplectic form whose cohomology class is arbitrarily close to $\text{PD}(A)$.

To find a surface C as above, choose a generic ω_0 -compatible almost complex structure J . By the wall crossing formula for Seiberg-Witten invariants [KM1] and Taubes’s “SW $\Rightarrow$ Gr” theorem [Ta1], if $\alpha \in H_2(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# m \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2)$ is any class with $\alpha^2 - K \cdot \alpha \geq 0$ and $\omega_0 \cdot (K - \alpha) < 0$, then there exists a J -holomorphic curve C in the class α . This works for $\alpha = nA$ when n is large. It turns out that the resulting holomorphic curve C is a connected embedded symplectic surface as desired, unless it includes an embedded sphere Σ of self-intersection -1 (or a multiple cover thereof) which does not intersect the rest of C . In this last case, Σ would represent an exceptional class with $A \cdot \Sigma < 0$, contradicting the second condition in (c).

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (c): Assume that (d) holds. The first part of (c) follows by an easy calculus exercise, see [H3], and is also a special case of a general relation between ECH capacities and symplectic volume discussed at the end of this article. To prove the rest of (c), let $A = dL - \sum d_i E_i$ be an exceptional class. Since $A^2 = -1$, we have $\sum d_i^2 = d^2 + 1$. Furthermore the adjunction formula implies that $K \cdot A = -1$, so by (7) we have $\sum d_i = 3d - 1$. Adding these two equations gives $\sum (d_i^2 + d_i) = d^2 + 3d$. We must have $d \geq 0$, since A is represented by an embedded surface which is symplectic with respect to ω_0 . If all of the integers d_i are nonnegative as well, then (d) implies that $\sum d_i a_i < d$ as desired. If any of the integers d_i are negative, then replace them by 0 and apply (d) to obtain an even stronger inequality.

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (d): This follows by invoking the ECH capacities as in Corollary 5. One can also prove this without using ECH capacities as follows. Suppose there is a ball packing as in (a), let ω be a symplectic form as in (b) and let J be a generic ω -compatible almost complex structure. Let $(d_1, \dots, d_m, d)$ be nonnegative integers (not all zero) satisfying (4), and write $\alpha = dL - \sum_i d_i E_i$. Then $\alpha^2 - K \cdot \alpha \geq 0$ by (4), and $\omega \cdot (K - \alpha) < 0$ since $d_1, \dots, d_m, d$ are nonnegative, so as in the proof of (c) $\Rightarrow$ (b) there exists a J -holomorphic curve C representing the class α . Since this must have positive symplectic area with respect to ω , we obtain the inequality (3).

As an alternative to the above paragraph, McDuff [M3] proves that (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) by an algebraic argument using the explicit description of $\mathcal{E}_m$ in [LiLi]. $\square$

Theorem 2 now follows from Proposition 6, together with the following technical lemma:

Lemma 1. *There is a symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m \text{int}(B(a_i)) \rightarrow B(a)$ if there is a symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m B(\lambda a_i) \rightarrow \text{int}(B(a))$ for every $\lambda < 1$.*

Proof. It is shown in [M1] that any two symplectic embeddings $\coprod_{i=1}^m B(a_i) \rightarrow \text{int}(B(a))$ are equivalent via a symplectomorphism of $\text{int}(B(a))$. Consequently, if there is a symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m B(\lambda a_i) \rightarrow \text{int}(B(a))$ for every $\lambda < 1$, then we can obtain a sequence of symplectic embeddings $\phi_n : \coprod_{i=1}^m B((1 - 1/n)a_i) \rightarrow \text{int}(B(a))$ such that ϕ_n is the restriction of ϕ_{n+1} . The direct limit of the maps ϕ_n then gives the desired symplectic embedding $\coprod_{i=1}^m \text{int}(B(a_i)) \rightarrow B(a)$. $\square$

Ellipsoid embeddings

We now explain McDuff's proof of Theorem 1 using Theorem 2. By a continuity argument as in Lemma 1, we can assume without loss of generality that a/b and c/d are rational.

If a and b are positive real numbers with a/b rational, the *weight expansion* $W(a, b)$ is a finite list of real numbers (possibly repeated) defined recursively as follows:

- If $a < b$ then $W(a, b) = (a) \cup W(a, b - a)$.
- $W(a, b) = W(b, a)$.
- $W(a, a) = (a)$.

For example, $W(5, 3) = (3, 2, 1, 1)$. If $W(a, b) = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, write $B(a, b) = \coprod_{i=1}^m B(a_i)$. Ellipsoid embeddings are then related to ball packings as follows:

Proposition 7 (McDuff [M2]). *Suppose a/b and c/d are rational with $c < d$. Then there is a symplectic embedding $\text{int}(E(a, b)) \rightarrow E(c, d)$ if and only if there is a symplectic embedding*

$$\text{int}(B(a, b) \sqcup B(d - c, d)) \rightarrow B(d). \quad (8)$$

Proof. We will only explain the easier direction, namely why an ellipsoid embedding gives rise to a ball packing. For this purpose consider the moment map $\mu : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $\mu(z_1, z_2) = \pi(|z_1|^2, |z_2|^2)$. Call two subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ “affine equivalent” if one can be obtained from the other by the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and translations. Note that if U_1, U_2 are affine equivalent open sets in the positive quadrant of $\mathbb{R}^2$, then $\mu^{-1}(U_1)$ and $\mu^{-1}(U_2)$ are symplectomorphic.

If $a, b > 0$, let $\Delta(a, b)$ denote the triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(a, 0)$, and $(0, b)$. Then $E(a, b) = \mu^{-1}(\Delta(a, b))$. If $a < b$, then $\Delta(a, b)$ is the union (along a line segment) of $\Delta(a, a)$ and a triangle which is affine equivalent to $\Delta(a, b - a)$. It follows by induction that if $W(a, b) = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, then $\Delta(a, b)$ is partitioned into m triangles, such that the i^{th} triangle is affine equivalent to $\Delta(a_i, a_i)$. By Traynor [Tr], there is a symplectic embedding of $\mathrm{int}(B(a_i))$ into $\mu^{-1}(\mathrm{int}(\Delta(a_i, a_i)))$. Hence there is a symplectic embedding

$$\mathrm{int}(B(a, b)) \rightarrow \mathrm{int}(E(a, b)). \quad (9)$$

Likewise, $\mathrm{int}(\Delta(d, d)) \setminus \Delta(c, d)$ is affine equivalent to $\mathrm{int}(\Delta(d - c, d))$, so there is a symplectic embedding

$$\mathrm{int}(B(d - c, d)) \rightarrow B(d) \setminus E(c, d),$$

and hence a symplectic embedding

$$\mathrm{int}(E(c, d) \sqcup B(d - c, d)) \rightarrow B(d). \quad (10)$$

If there is a symplectic embedding $\mathrm{int}(E(a, b)) \rightarrow E(c, d)$, then composing this with the embeddings (9) and (10) gives a symplectic embedding as in (8). $\square$

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is to use the fact that the existence of an ellipsoid embedding is equivalent to the existence of a ball packing, and the fact that ECH capacities give a sharp obstruction to the existence of ball packings, to deduce that ECH capacities give a sharp obstruction to the existence of ellipsoid embeddings. To proceed with the details, if $a_\bullet$ and $a'_\bullet$ are sequences of real numbers indexed by nonnegative integers, define another such sequence $a_\bullet \# a'_\bullet$ by

$$(a_\bullet \# a'_\bullet)_k = \max_{i+j=k} (a_i + a'_j).$$

Note that the operation $\#$ is associative, and the Disjoint Union axiom of ECH capacities can be restated as

$$c_\bullet(X_1 \sqcup X_2) = c_\bullet(X_1) \# c_\bullet(X_2).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The “only if” part follows from Corollary 5(a). To prove the “if” part, assume without loss of generality that a/b and c/d are rational and $c < d$, and suppose that $\mathcal{N}(a, b) \leq \mathcal{N}(c, d)$. By Proposition 7, we need to show that there exists a symplectic embedding as in (8). By Theorem 2 and the calculation in Corollary 5(b), it is enough to show that

$$c_{\bullet}(B(a, b) \sqcup B(d - c, d)) \leq c_{\bullet}(B(d)).$$

To prove this, first note that applying the Monotonicity axiom to the embedding (9) and using our hypothesis gives

$$c_{\bullet}(B(a, b)) \leq c_{\bullet}(E(a, b)) \leq c_{\bullet}(E(c, d)).$$

By the Disjoint Union axiom and the fact that the operation ‘ $\#$ ’ respects inequality of sequences, it follows that

$$c_{\bullet}(B(a, b) \sqcup B(d - c, d)) \leq c_{\bullet}(E(c, d) \sqcup B(d - c, d)).$$

On the other hand, applying Monotonicity to the embedding (10) gives

$$c_{\bullet}(E(c, d) \sqcup B(d - c, d)) \leq c_{\bullet}(B(d)).$$

By the above two inequalities we are done. $\square$

Remark. The above is McDuff’s original proof of Theorem 1. Her subsequent proof in [M3] avoids using the monotonicity of ECH capacities (a heavy piece of machinery) as follows. The idea is to *define* the ECH capacities of any union of balls or ellipsoids by the Ellipsoid and Disjoint Union axioms, and then to algebraically justify all invocations of Monotonicity in the proof. For example, in the above argument for the ‘if’ part of Theorem 1, in the first step one needs to show that if a/b is rational then $c_{\bullet}(B(a, b)) \leq c_{\bullet}(E(a, b))$. In fact one can show algebraically that $c_{\bullet}(B(a, b)) = c_{\bullet}(E(a, b))$. To do so, by induction and the associativity of $\#$, it is enough to show that if a/b is rational and $a < b$ then $\mathcal{N}(a, b) = \mathcal{N}(a, a) \# \mathcal{N}(a, b - a)$. The proof of this may be found in [M3].

Remark. The proof of Theorem 1 generalizes to show that ECH capacities give a sharp obstruction to symplectically embedding any disjoint union of finitely many ellipsoids into an ellipsoid.

Remark. Theorem 1 does not directly generalize to higher dimensions. That is, if one defines $\mathcal{N}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ to be the sequence of nonnegative integer linear combinations of $a_1, \dots, a_n$ in increasing order, then when $n > 2$ it

is not true that $\text{int}(E(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ symplectically embeds into $E(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq \mathcal{N}(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$. In particular, Hind-Kerman [HK] used methods of Guth [Gu] to show that $E(1, R, R)$ symplectically embeds into $E(a, a, R^2)$ whenever $a > 3$. However if R is sufficiently large with respect to a then $\mathcal{N}(1, R, R) \not\leq \mathcal{N}(a, a, R^2)$.

Embedded contact homology

In the ball packing story above, an important role was played by Taubes's "SW=Gr" theorem, which relates Seiberg-Witten invariants of symplectic 4-manifolds to holomorphic curves. The ECH capacities are defined using an analogue of "SW=Gr" for contact 3-manifolds.

Let Y be a closed oriented 3-manifold. Recall that a *contact form* on Y is a 1-form λ on Y such that $\lambda \wedge d\lambda > 0$ everywhere. The contact form λ determines a *Reeb vector field* R characterized by $d\lambda(R, \cdot) = 0$ and $\lambda(R) = 1$. A *Reeb orbit* is a closed orbit of R , i.e. a map $\gamma : \mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Y$ for some $T > 0$, modulo reparametrization, such that $\gamma'(t) = R(\gamma(t))$. The contact form λ is called "nondegenerate" if all Reeb orbits are cut out transversely in an appropriate sense. This holds for generic contact forms λ .

If λ is a nondegenerate contact form on Y as above, and if $\Gamma \in H_1(Y)$, the *embedded contact homology* $ECH_*(Y, \lambda, \Gamma)$ is defined as follows. It is the homology of a chain complex $ECC_*(Y, \lambda, \Gamma)$ which is freely generated over $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (it can also be defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ but this will not be needed here). A generator is a finite set of pairs $\alpha = \{(\alpha_i, m_i)\}$ where the α_i 's are distinct embedded Reeb orbits, the m_i 's are positive integers, $m_i = 1$ whenever α_i is hyperbolic (i.e. the linearized Reeb flow around α_i has real eigenvalues), and $\sum_i m_i [\alpha_i] = \Gamma \in H_1(Y)$. The chain complex has a relative grading which is defined in [H1]; the details of this are not important here.

To define the differential

$$\partial : ECC_*(Y, \lambda, \Gamma) \rightarrow ECC_{*-1}(Y, \lambda, \Gamma)$$

one chooses a generic almost complex structure J on $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ with the following properties: J is $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant, $J(\partial_s) = R$ where s denotes the $\mathbb{R}$ coordinate, and J sends $\text{Ker}(\lambda)$ to itself, rotating positively in the sense that $d\lambda(v, Jv) > 0$ for $0 \neq v \in \text{Ker}(\lambda)$. If $\alpha = \{(\alpha_i, m_i)\}$ and $\beta = \{(\beta_j, n_j)\}$ are two chain complex generators, then the differential coefficient $\langle \partial\alpha, \beta \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ is a mod 2 count of J -holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ which have "ECH index" equal to 1 and which converge as currents to $\sum_i m_i \alpha_i$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ and to $\sum_j n_j \beta_j$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. Holomorphic curves with ECH index 1 have various special

properties, one of which is that they are embedded (except that they may include multiply covered $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant cylinders), hence the name “embedded contact homology”. For details see [H2] and the references therein. It is shown in [HT1] that $\partial^2 = 0$. Although the differential usually depends on the choice of J , the homology of the chain complex does not. In fact it is shown by Taubes [Ta2] that $ECH_*(Y, \lambda, \Gamma)$ is isomorphic to a version of Seiberg-Witten Floer cohomology of Y as defined in [KM2].

The definition of ECH capacities only uses the case $\Gamma = 0$.

To define the ECH capacities we need to recall four additional structures on embedded contact homology:

(1) There is a chain map

$$U : ECC_*(Y, \lambda, \Gamma) \rightarrow ECC_{*-2}(Y, \lambda, \Gamma)$$

which counts J -holomorphic curves of ECH index 2 passing through a generic point in $z \in \mathbb{R} \times Y$, see [HT2]. The induced map on homology

$$U : ECH_*(Y, \lambda, \Gamma) \rightarrow ECH_{*-2}(Y, \lambda, \Gamma)$$

does not depend on z when Y is connected. If Y has n components, then there are n different versions of the U map.

(2) If $\alpha = \{(\alpha_i, m_i)\}$ is a generator of the ECH chain complex, define its *symplectic action* by

$$\mathcal{A}(\alpha) = \sum_i m_i \int_{\alpha_i} \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}.$$

It follows from the conditions on J that the differential decreases the symplectic action, i.e. if $\langle \partial\alpha, \beta \rangle \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{A}(\alpha) > \mathcal{A}(\beta)$. Hence for each $L \in \mathbb{R}$ we can define $ECH^L(Y, \lambda, \Gamma)$ to be the homology of the subcomplex spanned by generators with action less than L . It is shown in [HT3] that this does not depend on J , although unlike the usual ECH it does depend strongly on λ .

(3) The *empty set* of Reeb orbits is a legitimate generator of the ECH chain complex, and by the above discussion it is a cycle. Thus we have a canonical element

$$[\emptyset] \in ECH_*(Y, \lambda, 0).$$

(4) Let (Y_+, λ_+) and (Y_-, λ_-) be closed oriented 3-manifolds with nondegenerate contact forms. A *weakly exact symplectic cobordism* from (Y_+, λ_+) to (Y_-, λ_-) is a compact symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) such that $\partial X = Y_+ - Y_-$, the form ω on X is exact, and $\omega|_{Y_{\pm}} = d\lambda_{\pm}$. The key result which enables the definition of the ECH capacities is the following:

Theorem 8. *A weakly exact symplectic cobordism (X, ω) as above induces maps*

$$\Phi^L(X, \omega) : ECH_*^L(Y_+, \lambda_+, 0) \rightarrow ECH_*^L(Y_-, \lambda_-, 0)$$

for each $L \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:

(a) $\Phi^L[\emptyset] = [\emptyset]$.

(b) *If U_+ is any of the U maps for Y_+ , and if U_- is any of the U maps for Y_- corresponding to the same component of X , then $\Phi^L \circ U_+ = U_- \circ \Phi^L$.*

Idea of proof. This theorem follows from a slight modification of the main result of [HT3], as explained in [H3]. The first step is to define a “completion” $\overline{X}$ of X by attaching cylindrical ends $[0, \infty) \times Y_+$ to the positive boundary and $(-\infty, 0] \times Y_-$ to the negative boundary. One chooses an almost complex structure J on $\overline{X}$ which is ω -compatible on X and which on the ends agrees with almost complex structures as needed to define the ECH of $(Y_{\pm}, \lambda_{\pm})$.

One would like to define a chain map $ECC_*(Y_+, \lambda_+, 0) \rightarrow ECC_*(Y_-, \lambda_-, 0)$ by counting J -holomorphic curves in $\overline{X}$ with ECH index 0. Considering ends of ECH index 1 moduli spaces would prove that this is a chain map. The conditions on J and the fact that we are restricting to $\Gamma = 0$ imply that this map would respect the symplectic action filtrations and satisfy property (a). To prove property (b) one would choose a path ρ in $\overline{X}$ from a positive cylindrical end to a negative cylindrical end. Counting ECH index 1 curves that pass through ρ would then define a chain homotopy as needed to prove that $\Phi^L \circ U_+ = U_- \circ \Phi^L$.

Unfortunately, it is not currently known how to define Φ^L by counting holomorphic curves as above, due to technical difficulties caused by multiply covered holomorphic curves with negative ECH index, see [H1, §5]. However one can still define Φ^L and prove properties (a) and (b) by passing to Seiberg-Witten theory, using arguments from [Ta2]. $\square$

Definition of ECH capacities

Let Y be a closed oriented 3-manifold with a contact form λ , and suppose that $[\emptyset] \neq 0 \in ECH_*(Y, \lambda, 0)$. We then define a sequence of real numbers

$$0 = c_0(Y, \lambda) < c_1(Y, \lambda) \leq c_2(Y, \lambda) \leq \cdots \leq \infty$$

as follows. Suppose first that λ is nondegenerate. If Y is connected, define

$$c_k(Y, \lambda) = \inf \left\{ L \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists \eta \in ECH^L(Y, \lambda, 0) : U^k \eta = [\emptyset] \right\}.$$

If Y is disconnected, define $c_k(Y, \lambda)$ the same way, but replace the condition $U^k \eta = [\emptyset]$ with the condition that every k -fold composition of U maps send η to $[\emptyset]$. Finally, if λ is degenerate, one defines $c_k(Y, \lambda)$ by approximating λ by nondegenerate contact forms.

Moving back up to four dimensions, define a (four dimensional) *Liouville domain* to be a compact symplectic four manifold (X, ω) such that ω is exact, and there exists a contact form λ on ∂X with $d\lambda = \omega|_{\partial X}$. In other words, (X, ω) is a weakly exact symplectic cobordism from a contact three-manifold to the empty set. For example, any star-shaped subset of $\mathbb{R}^4$ is a Liouville domain. Here “star-shaped” means that the boundary is transverse to the radial vector field, and we take the standard symplectic form (1) as usual.

If (X, ω) is a Liouville domain, define its ECH capacities by

$$c_k(X, \omega) = c_k(\partial X, \lambda)$$

where λ is any contact form on ∂X with $d\lambda = \omega|_{\partial X}$. Note here that $c_k(\partial X, \lambda)$ is defined because it follows from Theorem 8(a) that $[\emptyset] \neq 0 \in ECH_*(\partial X, \lambda, 0)$. Also, $c_k(X, \omega)$ does not depend on λ , because changing λ will not change the ECH chain complex, and the fact that we restrict to $\Gamma = 0$ implies that changing λ does not affect the symplectic action filtration.

Proof of Theorem 4 (for Liouville domains). The Conformality axiom follows directly from the definition. The Ellipsoid and Disjoint Union axioms are proved by direct calculations in [H3]. To prove the Monotonicity axiom, let (X_0, ω_0) and (X_1, ω_1) be Liouville domains and let $\phi : (X_0, \omega_0) \rightarrow (X_1, \omega_1)$ be a symplectic embedding. Let λ_i be a contact form on ∂X_i with $d\lambda_i = \omega|_{\partial X_i}$ for $i = 0, 1$. By a continuity argument we can assume without loss of generality that $\phi(X_0) \subset \text{int}(X_1)$ and that the contact forms λ_i are nondegenerate. Then $(X_1 \setminus \phi(\text{int}(X_0)), \omega_1)$ defines a weakly exact symplectic cobordism from $(\partial X_1, \lambda_1)$ to $(\partial X_0, \lambda_0)$. It follows immediately from Theorem 8 that $c_k(\partial X_1, \lambda_1) \geq c_k(\partial X_0, \lambda_0)$, because the maps Φ^L preserve the set of ECH classes η with $U^k \eta = [\emptyset]$. $\square$

More generally, c_k of an arbitrary symplectic manifold (X, ω) is defined to be the supremum of $c_k(X', \omega')$, where (X', ω') is a Liouville domain that can be symplectically embedded into (X, ω) .

More examples of ECH capacities

Theorem 9. [H3] *The ECH capacities of a polydisk are*

$$c_k(P(a, b)) = \min\{am + bn \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (m+1)(n+1) \geq k+1\}.$$

It turns out that ECH capacities also give a sharp obstruction to symplectically embedding an ellipsoid into a polydisk. The proof uses the following analogue of Proposition 7:

Proposition 10 (Müller [Mu]). *Let $a, b, c, d > 0$ with a/b rational. Then there is a symplectic embedding $\text{int}(E(a, b)) \rightarrow P(c, d)$ if and only if there is a symplectic embedding*

$$\text{int}(B(a, b) \sqcup B(c) \sqcup B(d)) \rightarrow B(c + d).$$

As in Proposition 7, the “only if” direction in Proposition 10 follows from an explicit construction (together with (9)). Namely, the triangle $\Delta(c + d, c + d)$ is partitioned into a rectangle of side lengths c and d together with translates of $\Delta(c, c)$ and $\Delta(d, d)$, so there is a symplectic embedding

$$\text{int}(P(c, d) \sqcup B(c) \sqcup B(d)) \rightarrow B(c + d). \quad (11)$$

Corollary 11. *There is a symplectic embedding $\text{int}(E(a, b)) \rightarrow P(c, d)$ if and only if $c_\bullet(E(a, b)) \leq c_\bullet(P(c, d))$.*

Proof. Copy the above proof of Theorem 1, using Proposition 10 and (11) in place of Proposition 7 and (10). $\square$

Remark. ECH capacities do not always give sharp obstructions to symplectically embedding a polydisk into an ellipsoid. For example, it is easy to check that $c_\bullet(P(1, 1)) = c_\bullet(E(1, 2))$. Thus ECH capacities give no obstruction to symplectically embedding $P(1, 1)$ into $E(a, 2a)$ whenever $a > 1$. However the Ekeland-Hofer capacities (see [CHLS]) show that $P(1, 1)$ does not symplectically embed into $E(a, 2a)$ whenever $a < 3/2$. And the latter bound is sharp, since according to our definitions $P(1, 1)$ is a subset of $E(3/2, 3)$.

Theorem 9 is deduced in [H3] from the following more general calculation, proved using results from [HS]. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$, regarded as a translation-invariant norm on TT^2 . Let $\|\cdot\|^*$ denote the dual norm on T^*T^2 . Define

$$T_{\|\cdot\|^*} := \{\zeta \in T^*T^2 \mid \|\zeta\|^* \leq 1\},$$

with the canonical symplectic form on T^*T^2 .

Theorem 12. [H3] *If $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$, then*

$$c_k(T_{\|\cdot\|^*}) = \min \{\ell_{\|\cdot\|}(\Lambda) \mid |P_\Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| = k + 1\}. \quad (12)$$

Here the minimum is over convex polygons Λ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and P_Λ denotes the closed region bounded by Λ . Also $\ell_{\|\cdot\|}(\Lambda)$ denotes the length of Λ in the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Finally, we remark that in all known examples, the ECH capacities asymptotically recover the symplectic volume, via:

Conjecture 13. *[H3] Let (X, ω) be a four-dimensional Liouville domain such that $c_k(X, \omega) < \infty$ for all k . Then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_k(X, \omega)^2}{k} = 4 \operatorname{vol}(X, \omega).$$

Of course, it is the deviation of $c_k(X, \omega)^2/k$ from $4 \operatorname{vol}(X, \omega)$ that gives rise to nontrivial symplectic embedding obstructions.

Acknowledgments. I thank Paul Biran and Dusa McDuff for patiently explaining to me the ball packing and ellipsoid embedding stories. This work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0806037.

References

- [B1] P. Biran, *Symplectic packing in dimension 4*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **7** (1997), 420–437.
- [B2] P. Biran, *From symplectic packing to algebraic geometry and back*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Barcelona, 2000), 507–524, Progr. Math. **202**, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [CHLS] K. Cieliebak, H. Hofer, J. Latschev, and F. Schlenk, *Quantitative symplectic geometry*, Dynamics, ergodic theory, and geometry, 1–44, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **54**, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [Gr] M. Gromov, *Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math. **82** (1985), 307–347.
- [Gu] L. Guth, *Symplectic embeddings of polydisks*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), 477–489.
- [HK] R. Hind and E. Kerman, *New obstructions to symplectic embeddings*, arXiv:0906.4296.
- [H1] M. Hutchings, *The embedded contact homology index revisited*, New perspectives and challenges in symplectic field theory, 263–297, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 49, AMS, 2009.

- [H2] M. Hutchings, *Embedded contact homology and its applications*, Proceedings of the ICM 2010, Hyderabad, vol. II, 1022–1041.
- [H3] M. Hutchings, *Quantitative embedded contact homology*, arXiv:1005.2260, to appear in J. Differential Geometry.
- [HS] M. Hutchings and M. Sullivan, *Rounding corners of polygons and the embedded contact homology of T^3* , Geometry and Topology **10** (2006), 169–266.
- [HT1] M. Hutchings and C. H. Taubes, *Gluing pseudoholomorphic curves along branched covered cylinders I*, J. Symplectic Geom. **5** (2007), 43–137.
- [HT2] M. Hutchings and C. H. Taubes, *The Weinstein conjecture for stable Hamiltonian structures*, Geometry and Topology **13** (2009), 901–941.
- [HT3] M. Hutchings and C. H. Taubes, *Proof of the Arnold chord conjecture in three dimensions II*, in preparation.
- [KM1] P.B. Kronheimer and T.S. Mrowka, *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, Math. Res. Lett. **1** (1994), 797–808.
- [KM2] P.B. Kronheimer and T.S. Mrowka, *Monopoles and three-manifolds*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [LiLi] Bang-He Li and T-J. Li, *Symplectic genus, minimal genus and diffeomorphisms*, Asian J. Math **6** (2002), 123–144.
- [LiLiu] T-J. Li and A-K. Liu, *Uniqueness of symplectic canonical class, surface cone and symplectic cone of 4-manifolds with $B^+ = 1$* , J. Diff. Geom. **58** (2001), 331–370.
- [M1] D. McDuff, *From symplectic deformation to isotopy*, Topics in symplectic 4-manifolds (Irvine, CA, 1996), 85–99, First Int. Press Lect. Ser. I, Int. Press, Cambridge MA, 1998.
- [M2] D. McDuff, *Symplectic embeddings of 4-dimensional ellipsoids*, J. Topology **2** (2009), 1–22.
- [M3] D. McDuff, *The Hofer conjecture on embedding symplectic ellipsoids*, arXiv:1008.1885, v2.

- [MP] D. McDuff and L. Polterovich, *Symplectic packings and algebraic geometry*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), 405–429.
- [MS] D. McDuff and F. Schlenk, *The embedding capacity of 4-dimensional symplectic ellipsoids*, arXiv:0912.0532, v2.
- [Mu] D. Müller, *Symplectic embeddings of ellipsoids into polydiscs*, Univ. Neuchatel PhD thesis, in preparation.
- [S] F. Schlenk, *Embedding problems in symplectic geometry*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics **40**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2005.
- [Ta1] C. H. Taubes, *Seiberg-Witten and Gromov Invariants for Symplectic 4-manifolds*, International Press, Somerville MA 2000.
- [Ta2] C. H. Taubes, *Embedded contact homology and Seiberg-Witten Floer homology I*, arXiv:0811.3985.
- [Tr] L. Traynor, *Symplectic packing constructions*, J. Differential Geometry **42** (1995), 411–429.
- [W] I. Wieck, *Explicit symplectic packings: symplectic tunnelling and new maximal constructions*, PhD thesis, Universität zu Köln, Shaker-Verlag, Aachen (2009).